

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/224,781	01/04/1999	BARRY M. LIBIN	1059-007	3261	
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER		
JAMES V CO	OSTIGAN BSON & COSTIGAN	KRASS, FREDERICK F			
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036		1614		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	67	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/224,781	LIBIN, BARRY M.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frederick F. Krass	1614				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	i			
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availabed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the means of the period for reply specified about 1 NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or expenses.	le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ailing date of this communication. we is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period w tended period for reply will, by statute, ter than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on <u>1-6-0</u>	3 (CPA request).					
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above cla 5) Claim(s) is/ar 6) Claim(s) is/ar 7) Claim(s) is/ar	e rejected.	withdrawn from consider					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	:					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not requ	uest that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	, ,		(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 d Office Action or form PTO-15				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/224,781

Art Unit: 1614

## **Status of Action**

The prosecution by the previous examiner is less than a model of clarity. The new examiner intends to restart prosecution on the previously elected claims <u>de novo</u>. As far as the new examiner can determine, claims 1, 23-25, 27-30 and 32 correspond to the previously elected invention; claims 7, 18-22 and 31 are non-elected. Applicant is requested to verify that this is the case.

## **Election of Species Requirement**

This election of species requirement applies to the previously elected claims discussed above, i.e. 1, 23-25, 27-30 and 32 (apparently).

This application contains previously elected claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) affected disease sites 2) fungal infective agents and 3) cationic antimicrobial compounds.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/224,781

Art Unit: 1614

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A proper election will name one specific infected site, e.g. a human oral cavity, one specific fungal infective agent, e.g. Candida albicans, and one specific cationic antimicrobial agent, e.g. cetylpyridinium chloride.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Costigan on 4-2-04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/224,781

Art Unit: 1614

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick F. Krass whose telephone number is 571-272-0580. The examiner's schedule is as follows:

Monday: 6:30-3:00PM; Tuesday: 10-6:30PM;

Wednesday: off;

Thursday: 10-6:30PM; and

Friday: 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seidel Marianne, can be reached on 571-272-0584. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

Quelle